Highly enantioselective hydrogenation of enol ester phosphonates catalyzed by rhodium phosphine-phosphite complexes[†]

Miguel Rubio, Andrés Suárez, Eleuterio Álvarez and Antonio Pizzano*

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 17th September 2004, Accepted 1st November 2004 First published as an Advance Article on the web 10th December 2004 DOI: 10.1039/b414288h

Chiral phosphine-phosphites provide versatile catalysts for the highly enantioselective hydrogenation of α -acyloxy α , β -unsaturated phosphonates.

Chiral α -hydroxy phosphonates are important compounds with biological significance as enzyme inhibitors,¹ as well as synthetic interest because they can lead to a broad variety of α -functionalized optically active phosphonates.² Interestingly, the enantioselective hydrogenation of enol ester phosphonates provides a convenient access to the synthesis of chiral α -hydroxy phosphonates,³ but this reaction has received limited attention. Studies covering the scope of this transformation comprise the application of rhodium catalysts bearing strong donor C_2 symmetric diphosphines.^{3,4} It is noteworthy that these complexes provide good to excellent enantioselectivities in the hydrogenation of β -alkyl substituted phosphonates, while their performance is significantly reduced with β -aryl substrates. The latter constitute an important group of compounds that can allow, for instance, the synthesis of phosphonic acid analogues of phenylalanine and tyrosine.^{2d}

In the search for efficient catalysts, the use of two tunable coordinating functions in C_1 symmetric ligands has become an invaluable tool. Thus, a variety of ligands combining phosphorus, sulfur, nitrogen or carbon based fragments have been applied to a wide range of asymmetric catalytic transformations with excellent results.⁵ In particular, we have been interested in the application of phosphine-phosphites in asymmetric hydrogenation. We have synthesized a family of modularly designed ligands 1 and employed it in the highly enantioselective reduction of dimethyl itaconate and methyl *Z*- α -acetamido cinnamate (MAC).⁶ The easily tunable structures of compounds 1 make them appropriate to pursue the hydrogenation of more challenging unsaturated phosphonates 2 (eqn. 1), and in this contribution we report catalysts based on phosphine-phosphites which hydrogenate with high enantioselectivities both β -alkyl and β -aryl substrates.



R¹ = Ph (1a), Prⁱ (1b), Me (1c)





Studies were initiated with the hydrogenation of substrate 2a (R² = Et, Table 1) with rhodium precatalysts of formulation $[Rh(COD)(P-OP)]BF_4$ (P-OP = 1a (4a), 1b (4b), 1c (4c)). Uncompleted reactions were observed in all cases, with moderate enantioselectivities for 4a and 4b, and lower for methyl derivative 4c. An important feature of substrates 2 is the existence of a tetrahedral phosphorus functionality bonded to the olefin, which can make these hydrogenations more sensitive to steric effects than those of olefins bearing an sp^2 hybridized carbon at this position (e.g. MAC, dimethyl itaconate). Based on recent mechanistic investigations,⁴ as well as on the coordination mode of MAC observed in phosphine-phosphite complexes,^{6a} it is reasonable to assume that the olefin bond of 2a coordinates cis to the phosphite in the reaction (Fig. 1). Hence, we postulate that bulkiness on the latter group can be detrimental in the hydrogenation of 2a.[±] To test this hypothesis we prepared sterically less demanding ligands 7 based on chiral bisphenol 5 (Scheme 1),⁷ as well as their corresponding catalyst precursors $[Rh(COD)(P-OP)]BF_4$ (P-OP = 7a (8a), 7b (8b)). We were pleased to observe that both complexes 8a and 8b produced a complete conversion in the reduction of 2a (Table 2). Moreover, while the first slightly raised the enantioselectivity to 89% ee, in comparison with an 85% ee provided by 4a, the isopropyl derivative afforded an excellent value of 95% ee. The latter data, as well as those obtained with alkyl substituted phosphonates 2b-2d (91-98% ee, entries 3-5), are comparable with enantioselectivities originated by DuPHOS and MiniPHOS ligands.^{3,4} Most noteworthy is the result produced by phenyl substrate 2e, which was hydrogenated with complete conversion and 92% ee. This value competes very favourably with the best

Table 1 Hydrogenation of 2a with [Rh(COD)(P-OP)]BF₄^a

Entry	Precatalyst	% Conversion	% ee (conf.)
1	4a	25	85 (S)
2	4b	18	78 (S)
3	4 c	74	59 (S)

^{*a*} All reactions were carried out at room temperature with an initial hydrogen pressure of 4 bar, in methylene chloride at a S/C = 100. Reaction time 24 h. Conversion was determined by ¹H NMR and enantiomeric excess (ee) by chiral HPLC. Configuration was determined by comparison of optical rotation with literature values.^{3,4}



Fig. 1



Scheme 1 Synthesis of ligands 7.

Table 2 Hydrogenation of 2 with [Rh(COD)(P-OP)]BF₄^a

Entry	Substrate	R ²	Precatalyst	% ee (conf.)		
1	2a	Et	8a	89 (<i>R</i>)		
2	2a	Et	8b	95 (R)		
3	2b	Н	8b	91 (R)		
4	2c	Pr ⁱ	8b	98 (R)		
5	2d	Bu ⁿ	8b	96 (<i>R</i>)		
6	2e	Ph	8b	92 (<i>R</i>)		
7	2f	p-MeO-C ₆ H ₄	8a	82 (R)		
8^b	2f	p-MeO-C ₆ H ₄	8b	91 (<i>R</i>)		
^{<i>a</i>} See footnote of Table 1 for conditions and determinations. All reactions were completed unless otherwise stated, ^{<i>b</i>} 43% conversion.						

reported for this olefin of 70% ee.^{4a} Analogously, anisyl derivative **2f** produced a 91% ee with **8b** (best reported 68% ee³), although the reaction was slow under our standard conditions and afforded a 43% conversion. Otherwise phenyl catalyst completed the reaction with a good enantioselectivity of 82% ee.

With the intention of gaining information about the improvement produced by 7 we have performed an X-ray diffraction study of compound 8a (see ESI for an ORTEP view). It is noteworthy that this complex displays conformation A for the Rh-7a fragment (Fig. 2), with the phenylene backbone *syn* to the inner aryl group of the biphenyl moiety. Interestingly, this conformation has not been observed in complexes derived from 1, which in turn display an *anti* arrangement of type **B**, which minimizes steric interactions between the backbone and biphenyl groups.^{6a} Conformation type



Fig. 2 Conformations of the Rh-7a fragment.

B should be accessible as well to complexes **8**, thus allowing backbone mobility.§ Thus, the higher reactivity of **8** (compared to **4**) can be ascribed not only to the lower steric bulkiness of the phosphite group, but also to a higher ligand flexibility.⁸

In summary we have developed hydrogenation catalysts, based on chiral phosphine-phosphites, for the highly enantioselective synthesis of β -alkyl and -aryl α -acyloxy phosphonates. Optimization of the catalyst has been achieved by a proper tuning of the chiral ligand, facilitated by its high modularity. Studies further investigating the scope of these catalysts are currently in progress.¶

We acknowledge DGI (Grant No. BQU-2000-1169) for financial support and Dr Noureddine Khiar (Instituto de Investigaciones Químicas) for helpful comments. M. R. thanks the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia for an FPU fellowship.

Miguel Rubio, Andrés Suárez, Eleuterio Álvarez and Antonio Pizzano* Instituto de Investigaciones Químicas, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas-Universidad de Sevilla, Avda Américo Vespucio s/n, Isla de la Cartuja, 41092, Sevilla, Spain. E-mail: pizzano@iiq.csic.es; Fax: 34 954460565; Tel: 34 954489556

Notes and references

 \ddagger In ref 4a Imamoto and coworkers propose that hydrogenation of compounds 2 should proceed predominantly by a dihydride mechanism, but an alternative unsaturated pathway can also occur. However, interaction between phosphite and olefinic fragments can take place either in a Rh(I) adduct or a Rh(III) dihydride.

§ Backbone mobility in **8b** has been detected by NOESY experiments. Details will be given in a full account.

¶ Crystal data for **8a·H₂O**: C₈₄H₈₈B₂F₈O₈P₄Rh₂, M = 1728.86, orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$, a = 16.3320(12), b = 21.0373(15), c = 23.4288(19) Å, V = 8049.7(11) Å³, T = 100 K, Z = 4, $\mu = 0.563$ mm⁻¹, 88996 reflections collected, 25153 independent (R(int) = 0.0535), R1 = 0.0586, wR2 = 0.1393 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$). CCDC 246371. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b4/b414288h/ for crystallographic data in .cif or other electronic format.

 (a) B. Stowasser, K.-H. Budt, L. Jian-Qi, A. Peyman and D. Ruppert, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1992, 33, 6625; (b) D. V. Patel, K. Rielly-Gauvin, D. E. Ryono, C. A. Free, W. L. Rogers, S. A. Smith, J. M. DeForrest, R. S. Oehl and E. W. Petrillo, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1995, 38, 4557; (c) M. Overhand, H. R. Stuivenberg, E. Pieterman, L. H. Cohen, R. E. W. van Leeuwen, A. R. P. M. Valentijn, H. S. Overkleeft, G. A. van der Marel and J. H. van Boom, *Bioorg. Chem.*, 1998, 26, 269.

- 2 (a) M. Bubenik, P. Préville, J. Dugas, G. Attardo and L. Chan, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2003, 44, 8261; (b) R. N. Patel, A. Banerjee and L. J. Szarka, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 1997, 8, 1055; (c) M. Gulea, F. Hammerschmidt, P. Marchand, S. Masson, V. Pisljagic and F. Wuggenig, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2003, 14, 1829; (d) M. Drescher, Y.-F. Li and F. Hammerschmidt, *Tetrahedron*, 1995, 51, 4933.
- 3 M. J. Burk, T. A. Stammers and J. A. Straub, Org. Lett., 1999, 1, 387.
- 4 (a) I. D. Gridnev, M. Yasutake, T. Imamoto and I. P. Beletskaya, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 2004, **101**, 5385; (b) I. D. Gridnev, N. Higashi and T. Imamoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 4631.
- 5 For the particular case of phosphine-phosphites, and representative applications in asymmetric catalysis see: (a) K. Nozaki, N. Sakai, T. Nanno, T. Higashijima, S. Mano, T. Horiuchi and H. Takaya, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 4413; (b) K. Nozaki, N. Sato, Y. Tonomura, M. Yasutomi, H. Takaya, T. Hiyama, T. Matsubara and N. Koga, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 12779; (c) S. Deerenberg, O. Pàmies,
- M. Diéguez, C. Claver, P. C. J. Kamer and P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen, J. Org. Chem., 2001, **66**, 7626; (d) S. Deerenberg, H. S. Schrekker, G. P. F. van Strijdonck, P. C. J. Kamer, P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen, J. Fraanke and K. Goubitz, J. Org. Chem., 2000, **65**, 4810; (e) O. Pàmies, M. Diéguez, G. Net, A. Ruiz and C. Claver, J. Org. Chem., 2001, **66**, 8364; (f) F. Blume, S. Zemolka, T. Fey, R. Kranich and H.-G. Schmalz, Adv. Synth. Catal, 2002, **344**, 868.
- 6 (a) A. Suárez, M. A. Méndez-Rojas and A. Pizzano, Organometallics, 2002, 21, 4611; (b) A. Suárez and A. Pizzano, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2001, 12, 2501.
- 7 For the synthesis of bisphenol 5 see: Z. Hua, V. C. Vassar and I. Ojima, Org. Lett., 2003, 5, 3831.
- 8 Conformational mobility can be a critical parameter in ligand design, see for instance: (a) M. J. Burk, A. Pizzano, J. M. Martín, L. Liable-Sands and A. L. Rheingold, *Organometallics*, 2000, **19**, 250; (b) D. Xiao and X. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2001, **40**, 3425.